[I. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1893-94
~~192—~~.
S & A.)

File No. 2 of 1893
Serial Nos. 1—6

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1—6

Major Part B ~~for~~ June 1894

Pension & Inamisawmy, Naik of the Upper Residency Mysore

To The Comptroller & Govt.

No. 2315 Dated 25. 6. 1894

States that Naik Inamisawmy is not entitled to pension.

Subject. Pension-papers of Mali Moonesamy of the Upper Residency Mysore.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date.	From or to whom.	Subject in abstract.
	3701	23-12-93	From the Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore	Requesting to be informed whether the service under Moonisamy in the Pala should be counted in calcu the pension
	18753 / 4184	1-5-'94	To the Palace Comptroller Mysore	Requesting to be informed whether the Palace Dept have any objection to bea a proportionate part of the pension earned by the Mahe
	53	11-5-'94	From the Palace Comptroller Mysore	Stating that it is not usual to grant pensions for duties performed in the Palace
	20946 / 4749	2-6-'94	To the Palace Comptroller Mysore	Requesting the return of the application of Moonisamy
	61.	12-6-'94	From the Palace Comptroller Mysore	Returning the same
	23115 / 5350	25-6-'94	To the Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore	Forwarding Copy of the same & stating that he is not entitled to pension

Free No 0

Letter No 3701 d/ 23rd December 93, from the Comptroller & the Govt. Surgeon, submitting an application for Invalid Pension on behalf of one Moonisamy, late Orali of the Upper Residency at Seringapatam; and requesting whether the service rendered by him in the Palace Department can be admitted as qualifying for a pension which the applicant has earned, and if so, whether the pension may be apportioned according to rules & proportions.

The total service of Moonisamy amounts to 29-7-0, of which

Palace establishment
and P. Rs 2-7-0 in the
establishment of the
Upper Residency. The
question is whether the
service rendered in the
Palace department
can be admitted as
qualifying and if so
whether the pension may
be apportioned according
to rule of proportions.
The Comptroller cites
for the information of
Govt the cases of
Naraniswamy Aur,
late manager Zenana
department, Impane Palace,
and Subba Aur, late
Anche Amtaddi of
Nanjinpode, in which
the Govt ordered that
such mixed service as
that referred to above
might be treated as

~~that~~ the whole pension debited to General Revenues.

2. The services of the above named two Officials are as follows

Naraina Aiyar was taken on the Palace establishment from the general Service. His Service under Govt was yrs. mns ds 13-7-0 and that in Palace establishment 12-2-0

Subba Aiyar was transferred to the general Service from the Palace Establishment. His Service in the Palace was yrs. mns ds 9-5-9 and that under Government yrs. mns ds 19-6-0

2 I.... the above

that in both cases the Service rendered under Govt. was either more than, or equal to, the Service rendered in the Palace. Whereas in the case under reference the Service rendered under Govt. is far less than that of the Service rendered in the Palace.

It is reasonable therefore whether the whole of the gratuity payable to him can be debited to the general Revenues instead of debiting it according to the rule of proportion, while the major portion of the Service was rendered in the Palace dept.

Submitted please.

2/12/26.

Extract

Send extract also to Secretary of this office note, together with the Persian Addl. in original (to be kept with the Palace Contribution) & ask whether the Palace Deptt have any objection to bear the proportionate part of the gratuity earned by the retired Malee

Dy. Secy

Draft submitted
Sd.

No. 3701
Encl 3

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,
Bangalore, 23rd December 189

S&A-2-93-

2. 10548 dy 8-1-94.
J.9.3318.

From

E. R. SUBBRAYAR, Esq.,
Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

In forwarding herewith an application for an Invalid pension received from the Military Secretary to His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore on behalf of Mali Munisawmy of the Upper Residency Mysore, I have the honor to bring to your notice that out of a total service of 29-7- the applicant served as a Mali in the Lokaranjan Mahal Department of the Palace on Rs. 11-- per mensem for the first 27 years of his service, viz: from March 1864 to April 1891 and which service is verified by the Palace Controller as noted on the 2nd page of the pension application; and the remaining 2 years and 7 months in the Establishment of the Upper Residency, and beg to be informed whether the service rendered in the Palace Department can be admitted as qualifying for a gratuity which the applicant

(with 2 enclosures)

has earned, and if so, whether the gratuity may be apportioned according to rule of proportions.

I may add that the Government have in the cases of Narrainswamy Row late Manager Zenana Department Mysore Palace, and Subbe Row late Huzoor Mutsaddi Sampangee to which he was transferred from His Highness the [illegible] Rajah's Body Guard Establishment, [illegible]ered that such service as that referred to above might be treated as qualifying and that the whole pension debited to General Revenues, vide Proceedings Nos. 378-9/43 and 1330/850 dated respectively the 19th April 1882 and 25th February 1891.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

[signature]
Comptroller
of Mysore

To Sec. 293-2

The Palace Controller
Mysore.

Dated 1. May 1894.
Despd 4/
No. 18753/14184 94

Has the honour to forward herewith an extract from this office note together with the original pension application (with 2 enclosures to be kindly returned) on behalf of Kumiswamy, late Mali of the Upper Residency at Mysore and to request to be informed ~~whether~~ if the Palace Department have any objection to bearing proportionate part of the gratuity earned by the retired Malee.

By order

Draft

Asst Secy.

1/5/94

3165 94

No 16849
14-5-94

1894.

From The Palace Controller
Mysore
S & A 2-93-3

To The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore

Dated
Despatched 11th May.
Received

Enclosures No. Nil

General No. 53
No.

Sir,

With reference to your office
No: 18753/4184 dated 1st May 1894
enquiring whether the Palace
have any objection to bearing
proportionate part of the gra-
tuity earned by the retired
Mali Kunnisamy, I have
the honour to inform you
that it is not usual to grant
pensions to Palace menial
Establishments, nor is there
any precedence for having
granted it to any of them

hitherto. The Palace Service is generally considered heri di tary and the lenial descendants of the deceas Servants are generally employed.

2. In the present case of any of the Males lenial descendants is fit for duty Consideration will be shown to him.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

D Stannard

Palace controller

Comps
BR

CR
2

189 3/94.

From S&A 293-4

To The Palace Controller Imperial

Dated 2 June 94.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 20946

No. 4749

His letter no. 532 of 11th May 94.

With reference to the above have the honour to request that the Persian application of Imam Sawary late Imam of the Persian Residency at Imperial, forwarded with this office docket no. 1875/4184 of 10th May 94, may be returned to this office.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient

12.JUN.94

(General Serial No. 31.)

1894.

No 18383
19-6-94
G.6501

From The Palace Controller

S & A. 2935

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Bangalore

Dated
Despatched 12th June
Received

Enclosures No. 3.

General No. 61
No.

His docket No 20946 / G No 474, dated 2nd June 1894.

With reference to the above has the honor to return herewith the Pension application of Munisamy late Mali of the Upper Residency at Mysore

V Ramachandra Iyer

Palace Controller

CR
2
Compd

189 3/94

From

The Chief Secretary to the 93-6
S & A Dewan of Mysore.

To The Comptroller
& the Govt of
Coorg

Dated 25 June/94.

Despatched 29

Received

Enclosures Nil

General No 23/15
No. 5450

His letter no 3701 dd
23d Dec/93, forwarding
an application for Inam
Pension on behalf of
Mali Muniswamy of
the Upper Residency at
Coorg, and requesting
to be informed whether
the Service rendered by
him in the Palace
dept which amounts
to 2 7 years (his Service
in the Establishment of
the Upper Residency being
only 2 years & 7 months)
can be admitted as
qualifying, and if so

within the Province ~~the~~ he will earn for the combined Service may be apportioned according to rule of proportion.

With reference to the above I have the honor to forward copy of letter No 53 dd 11th Inst Sig. from the Palace Controller on the subject and to state that under the circumstances therein stated Grah Moorcamp is not entitled to protection - The purport of that letter may however, be communicated to him for his information.

"Bon"

KA 25/6/94

Undersd

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date.	From or to whom.	Subject in abstract.